

Delocalization of the axial charge in the chiral limit

R.L. Jaffe

Center for Theoretical Physics

Laboratory for Nuclear Science and Department of Physics

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

and

RIKEN-BNL Research Center

Brookhaven National Laboratory

Upton, New York 11973

Abstract

The nucleon's axial vector charge, g_A , becomes delocalized in the chiral limit. When $m_\pi = 0$, and $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ is exact, $1/3$ of the nucleon's axial charge is to be found at infinite distance from the nucleon. For finite m_π this result is approached smoothly as $m_\pi \rightarrow 0$. We illustrate this effect by considering the lepton-proton spin-spin interaction arising from Z^0 exchange as a function of m_π . Delocalization may have implications for lattice calculations of g_A and in nuclei.

In this Letter I point out that the nucleon's axial vector charge, g_A , becomes delocalized in the chiral limit. Specifically, when $m_\pi = 0$, exactly $1/3$ of the nucleon's axial charge is to be found at infinite distance from the nucleon. The remaining $2/3$ is found at typical hadronic distances. This surprising situation is approached smoothly as $m_\pi \rightarrow 0$: A contribution to the axial charge that approaches $g_A/3$ is found at distances of order $1/m_\pi$ when m_π is much greater than typical hadronic distance scales. [1]

To demonstrate that this effect is physical, I compute the effective s -wave spin-spin Hamiltonian for a hydrogenic atom composed of a nucleon (mass M) and a charged lepton (mass m_ℓ) due to Z^0 exchange, for two cases, a) $M \gg m_\pi \gg \alpha m_\ell$, and b) $M \gg \alpha m_\ell \gg m_\pi$. As expected, in Case b) the interaction is exactly $2/3$ as strong as Case a).

Lattice estimates of the nucleon's axial vector charge extrapolated to the chiral limit have always found values below the physical value of $g_A \approx 1.26$. [3,4] These calculations are performed relatively far from the chiral limit on relatively small lattices. Although my analysis is performed in the unbounded continuum, it can be adapted to a finite domain and periodic boundary conditions, and may lead to modification of lattice extrapolations.¹ Also, estimates of g_A in nuclei consistently fall below the value obtained in isolation. [2] The phenomenon discussed here may account for medium dependent modifications of g_A without invoking partial restoration of chiral symmetry.

At first I work in the chiral limit, where the pion is massless and $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ is an exact symmetry. After deriving the claimed result, I present a simpler, heuristic argument based on symmetries, which may help develop the generalization to other geometries. Next, I consider the situation when the pion is light but not massless, and show that the chiral limit is approached smoothly. Finally I mention extensions to other hadronic axial charges and point out that this anomaly is special to the axial current and does not afflict either the nucleon's tensor charge or the matrix elements of the tower of twist-two operators that determine its polarized quark distribution, $\Delta q(x, Q^2)$.

The nucleon's axial charge is defined by the matrix element of the isovector axial current between momentum eigenstates: [6]

$$\langle N(p', s') | \vec{A}(0) | N(p, s) \rangle = \bar{u}(p', s') \frac{1}{2} \vec{\tau} \left(g_A(q^2) \vec{\gamma} \gamma_5 + h_A(q^2) \vec{q} \gamma_5 \right) u(p, s). \quad (1)$$

The axial charge, g_A , is $g_A(0)$. $h_A(q^2)$ is the induced pseudoscalar form factor, which receives a contribution from the pion pole,

$$h_A(q^2) \equiv \frac{d_A(q^2)}{q^2} = -\frac{2f_\pi g_{\pi N}}{q^2} + \dots \quad (2)$$

¹For an analysis of the implications of this paper for lattice calculations see Ref. [5].

where $g_{\pi N}$ is the π -nucleon coupling constant, f_π is the pion decay constant, and the terms denoted by $\dots$ are less singular than $1/q^2$ as $q \rightarrow 0$. Since the isovector axial current is conserved in the chiral limit, g_A and $g_{\pi N}$ are related by the Goldberger-Treiman relation [7]

$$g_A = \frac{g_{\pi N} f_\pi}{M} \quad (3)$$

which is exact when $m_\pi = 0$ and approximate for small m_π .²

Consider now the matrix element of the axial current in a nucleon wavepacket state, $|N(s)\rangle$. $N(s)$ represents a nucleon with spin $\vec{s}$ at rest, centered at the origin. It is described by a wave packet normalized to unity, $|N(s)\rangle = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \phi(p) |N(p, s)\rangle$, $\langle N(s) | N(s) \rangle = \int d^3p \frac{E}{M} |\phi(p)|^2 = 1$. Consider the fourier transform of the current matrix element in the limit where $|\vec{q}|$ is small compared to the momentum scale of the wave packet. Using eq. (1) and the following properties of Dirac spinors,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}(p, s) \gamma_\mu u(p, s) &= p_\mu / M, & \bar{u}(p, s) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 u(p, s) &= s_\mu / M \\ \bar{u}(p + q, s) \gamma_5 u(p, s) &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2M} q^\mu \bar{u}(p, s) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 u(p, s) \quad \text{as } q_\mu \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

I obtain

$$\lim_{\vec{q} \rightarrow 0} \int d^3r e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}} \langle N(s) | \vec{A}(\vec{r}) | N(s) \rangle = g_A (\vec{\chi} - \hat{q} \hat{q} \cdot \vec{\chi}) \quad (5)$$

up to recoil corrections of order $\langle p^2 \rangle / m^2$. $\vec{\chi}$ encodes the spin-isospin content of the matrix element,

$$\vec{\chi} \equiv \frac{1}{2} U_0^\dagger \vec{\sigma} \vec{\tau} U_0. \quad (6)$$

U_0 is a spinor-isospinor for a nucleon at rest. In deriving eq. (5) I have used the Goldberger-Treiman relation to express the entire matrix element in terms of g_A . Conservation of the axial current in the $\vec{q} \rightarrow 0$ limit is manifested by the fact that $\hat{q}$ contracted with eq. (5) vanishes. In this calculation it is necessary to treat the $\vec{q} \rightarrow 0$ limit carefully. Since $q^0 \sim \vec{q}^2 / 2M$ for small $\vec{q}$, I have replaced q^2 by $-\vec{q}^2$. Also from eq. (4), $\bar{u}(q, s) \gamma_5 u(0, s) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2M} U_0^\dagger \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{q} U_0$ as $\vec{q} \rightarrow 0$. The term proportional to $\hat{q} \hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ in eq. (5) shows that the limit $\vec{q} \rightarrow 0$ is nonuniform.

To separate the short- and long-range contributions to the matrix element I define contributions to eq. (5) from within and outside a sphere of radius R centered on the nucleon. R is taken much greater than the range of typical QCD interactions, $R \gg 1/\Lambda$, and is held fixed as $\vec{q} \rightarrow 0$,

²Other form factors, which are irrelevant for this discussion, have been omitted from eq. (1).

$$\langle N | \vec{A}(\vec{r}) | N \rangle = \theta(R - r) \vec{a}_0(r) + \theta(r - R) \vec{a}_\pi(r). \quad (7)$$

The first term is the unspecified contribution to the axial current from typical hadronic distance scales. For large enough R only the single pion contribution to the axial current remains when $r > R$, so the second term is completely due to the pion, $\vec{A} \rightarrow -f_\pi \vec{\nabla} \pi$, which in turn is given by the familiar pole diagram.

$$\vec{a}_\pi(r) = \frac{g_{\pi N} f_\pi}{4\pi M} \vec{\nabla} \vec{\chi} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \frac{1}{r} \quad (8)$$

Consider first the contribution of $\theta(R - r) \vec{a}_0$: Because the integral is bounded by $r \leq R$, the $\vec{q} \rightarrow 0$ limit can be taken without any special precaution. Therefore this piece of the axial current can only contribute to g_A , not to the pion pole. Thus this contribution to eq. (5) is given by

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \int_0^R r^2 dr d\Omega e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}} \vec{a}_0(\vec{r}) = \int_0^R r^2 dr d\Omega \vec{a}_0(\vec{r}) \equiv g_A^0(R) \vec{\chi}. \quad (9)$$

There is no need to specify $g_A^0(R)$ further, except to emphasize that it is the result one would obtain by restricting the calculation to the interior of a sphere of radius R .

Next turn to the region $r > R$, where the pion contribution to the axial current is explicit and exact,

$$\int_R^\infty r^2 dr \oint d\Omega e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}} \vec{a}_\pi(\vec{r}) = -C \int_R^\infty r^2 dr \oint d\Omega e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}} \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \hat{r} \cdot \vec{\chi} \right) \quad (10)$$

where $C = g_{\pi N} f_\pi / 4\pi M$. It remains merely to evaluate this integral, mindful that $\vec{q} \rightarrow 0$ with R fixed.

Note that dimensional analysis suggests that the contribution from eq. (10) will persist as $\vec{q} \rightarrow 0$ no matter how large R is, because the r integration is scale invariant. It must generate the pion pole term, $-\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\chi} g_A$ in eq. (5). The surprise is that there is another term proportional to $\vec{\chi}$ alone, which contributes to g_A .

Eq. (10) may be evaluated directly at fixed R and $\vec{q}$. In particular, the oscillatory $\vec{q}$ dependence ensures convergence at large r and does not require any special treatment. It is somewhat easier however to integrate by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_R^\infty r^2 dr \oint d\Omega e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}} \vec{a}_\pi(\vec{r}) &= C i \vec{q} \int_R^\infty dr \oint d\Omega e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}} \hat{r} \cdot \vec{\chi} + C \oint d\Omega e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}} \hat{r} \cdot \vec{\chi} \Big|_\infty^R \\ &= \vec{\Gamma}_V + \vec{\Gamma}_R + \vec{\Gamma}_\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $\vec{\Gamma}_V$ is the volume contribution for $r \geq R$, and $\vec{\Gamma}_{R,\infty}$ are surface terms at R and at the surface at infinity.

The surface at infinity does not contribute. To see this, expand the exponential using $\exp(i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}) = \sum_{\ell} i^{\ell} (2\ell + 1) P_{\ell}(\hat{q} \cdot \hat{r}) j_{\ell}(qr)$. From the physical definition of g_A it is clear that $\vec{\Gamma}_{\infty}$ is to be evaluated on the surface at infinity with q and R fixed. Only $\ell \leq 2$ contributes and the Bessel functions $j_{\ell}(qr)$ go to zero as $r \rightarrow \infty$ at fixed q , so $\vec{\Gamma}_{\infty} = 0$.

Next consider the volume contribution. $\hat{r} \cdot \vec{\chi}$ selects out the $\ell = 1$ term in the Bessel expansion of the exponential:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\Gamma}_V &= -3C\vec{q} \int_R^{\infty} dr j_1(qr) \int d\Omega \hat{q} \cdot \hat{r} \hat{r} \cdot \vec{\chi} \\ &= -4\pi C \hat{q} \cdot \vec{\chi} j_0(qR) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where I have used $\langle \hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij}$. Taking $\vec{q} \rightarrow 0$ at fixed R , I obtain

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \vec{\Gamma}_V = -\frac{g_{\pi N} f_{\pi}}{M} \hat{q} \cdot \vec{\chi} \quad (13)$$

which is the expected pion pole term.

Finally consider the surface term at R . With R fixed and $\vec{q} \rightarrow 0$, the exponential can be replaced by unity with the result

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \vec{\Gamma}_R &= C \int d\Omega \hat{r} \cdot \vec{\chi} \\ &= \frac{g_{\pi N} f_{\pi}}{3M} \vec{\chi} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

which contributes to g_A . Using the Goldberger-Treiman relation the magnitude of this term is $g_A/3$ independent of R .

The three contributions to eq. (5) given in eqs. (9), (13), and (14) combine to give

$$\lim_{\vec{q} \rightarrow 0} \int d^3r e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}} \langle N(s) | \vec{A}(\vec{r}) | N(s) \rangle = g_A^0(R) \vec{\chi} + \frac{1}{3} g_A \vec{\chi} - g_A \hat{q} \cdot \vec{\chi} \quad (15)$$

again using the Goldberger-Treiman relation.

Comparison with eq. (5) verifies that we have obtained the correct pion pole contribution (a check on the algebra) and that the contribution to g_A from inside an arbitrary but fixed radius R equals $\frac{2}{3} g_A$ independent of R .

Since this result is unexpected it is worth presenting a somewhat different argument, which is simpler, but heuristic. This argument may generalize to other geometries. If one suspects a possible long range contribution to the matrix element on the left-hand side of eq. (5) one can suppress it by averaging over the angles of $\vec{q}$ before sending $\vec{q} \rightarrow 0$. This has the effect of replacing $\exp(i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r})$ by $\sin qr / qr$, which is suppressed by an additional power of r at large r . Thus the spherical average of eq. (5) is equivalent to the short-range contribution

piece of eq. (5) and should be identified with $g_A^0(R) \vec{\chi}$. A factor of 1/3 appears when one averages $\hat{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ over the sphere, with the result

$$\lim_{\vec{q} \rightarrow 0} \left\langle \int d^3r e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}} \langle N(s) | \vec{A}(\vec{r}) | N(s) \rangle \right\rangle = g_A^0(R) \vec{\chi} = \frac{2}{3} g_A \vec{\chi} \quad (16)$$

which reproduces our earlier result.

Thus I conclude that in the chiral limit the correct value of the nucleon's axial charge is 3/2 the value that is computed by restricting the calculation to any finite volume.

Next, I repeat the analysis with a nonzero pion mass and study the behavior of g_A as a function of m_π and R . Although the form of the analysis changes considerably, the physical result changes smoothly as one departs from the chiral limit. There is no longer a pion pole in the induced pseudoscalar form factor, so that term plays no role in the analysis as $\vec{q} \rightarrow 0$. Note also that the Goldberger-Treiman relation is no longer exact so I will not replace $g_{\pi N} f_\pi / M$ by g_A except in the limit $m_\pi \rightarrow 0$. All contributions to the matrix element of $\vec{A}(r)$ fall exponentially, $\sim e^{-m_\pi r}$, for large r . Still, for small m_π and large r ($m_\pi, 1/r \ll \Lambda$) the pion dominates and its contribution can be calculated analytically. In place of eq. (8) one has

$$\vec{a}_\pi(\vec{r}) = \frac{g_{\pi N} f_\pi}{4\pi M} \vec{\nabla} \vec{\chi} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{e^{-m_\pi r}}{r} \right). \quad (17)$$

It is no longer necessary to be so careful about the limit $\vec{q} \rightarrow 0$. Instead the matrix element of the axial current at $\vec{q} = 0$ can be calculated directly. It can be separated into the contribution from $r \leq R$, again labelled $g_A^0(R)$, and the contribution from $r > R$ where the pion dominates. A straightforward calculation yields

$$\int d^3r \langle N(s) | \vec{A}(\vec{r}) | N(s) \rangle = g_A \vec{\chi} = \left(g_A^0(R) + \frac{1}{3} \frac{g_{\pi N} f_\pi}{M} (1 + m_\pi R) e^{-m_\pi R} \right) \vec{\chi}. \quad (18)$$

This result displays the expected behavior: For any fixed R , as $m_\pi \rightarrow 0$, one third of the axial charge comes from outside R . However, for any fixed m_π , as $R \rightarrow \infty$ all the axial charge comes from inside R . Finally, it is possible to calculate the density of axial charge in the region where the pion dominates. The result,

$$\frac{dg_A}{dr} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{g_{\pi N} f_\pi}{M} m_\pi^2 r e^{-m_\pi r} \quad (19)$$

valid at large r , again displays the nonuniformity of the $m_\pi \rightarrow 0$ and $r \rightarrow \infty$ limits. Whether these results are modified by chiral logarithms for small but nonvanishing m_π is outside the scope of this Letter.

A comment is in order on the relation of this result to traditional calculations of the pionic contribution to the nucleon's axial charge. [8] It is well known that, when integrated

over all space, the pion contributes to $h_A(q^2)$ and not to $g_A(q^2)$. This can be seen by Fourier transforming eq. (17) with the result,

$$\int d^3r e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}} \langle N(s) | \vec{a}_\pi(\vec{r}) | N(s) \rangle = -\frac{g_{\pi N} f_\pi / M}{\vec{q}^2 + m_\pi^2} \vec{q} \vec{\chi} \cdot \vec{q}, \quad (20)$$

(assuming some smearing of the pion source at the scale of the nucleon size). The tensor structure $\vec{q} \vec{\chi} \cdot \vec{q}$ signals a contribution to $h_A(-\vec{q}^2)$ and no contribution to $g_A(-\vec{q}^2)$. There is no puzzle here: we are studying the contribution to the axial charge outside a sphere of radius R surrounding a localized nucleon, not the integral over all space. The result we have derived is independent of the nature of the short range contributions to the nucleon's axial charge and the fact that the total pion pole contribution vanishes. Finally, the reader may wonder what additional short range term appears in the pion contribution to dg_A/dr (see eq. (19)) to make the integral over r vanish. A study of eq. (17) at small r reveals the presence of a Dirac δ function, so the *pionic* contribution to dg_A/dr is

$$\left. \frac{dg_A}{dr} \right|_{\text{pion}} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{g_{\pi N} f_\pi}{M} \left(m_\pi^2 r e^{-m_\pi r} - 2\delta(r) \right), \quad (21)$$

which integrates to zero. For an extended nucleon source, presumably the δ function would be replaced by a smooth contribution with the same integral.

One might worry that the delocalization of the axial charge demonstrated here is a merely a mathematical oddity rather than a physically observable effect. To put this doubt to rest, consider the following *gedanken* experiment in which it could, in principle, be measured. Consider a hydrogenic atom composed of a proton bound to a lepton of mass m_ℓ . We take m_ℓ small enough that the Bohr radius, $a_\ell = 1/m_\ell \alpha$, is much greater than $1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$, the range of the strong interactions, excluding the pion which we treat explicitly. Consider the proton and lepton interaction mediated by Z^0 exchange. The axial-axial piece of Z^0 exchange generates a spin-spin interaction which contributes a very small (but in principle observable) correction to the hydrogen hyperfine splitting. An elementary calculation yields,

$$H_Z(\vec{r}) = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \langle P(s) | \vec{A}_3(\vec{r}) | P(s) \rangle \cdot \vec{\sigma}_\ell \quad (22)$$

where $|P(s)\rangle$ is a proton with spin $\vec{s}$ localized at the origin, $\vec{A}_3$ is the third component of $\vec{\tilde{A}}$ in isospin space, and $\frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}_\ell$ is the lepton spin operator. We are interested in the chiral limit, so we take $m_\pi \ll \Lambda_{\text{QCD}} < M$. We parameterize $\vec{\tilde{A}}$ in terms of $\vec{a}_0$ and $\vec{a}_\pi$ (see eqs. (7) and (17)). In the s -wave only the spherical average of $\vec{A}_3$ survives, and we find,

$$\langle \langle \vec{A}_3(r) \rangle \rangle = \left(\frac{2}{3} g_A \delta^3(\vec{r}) + \frac{1}{12\pi} g_A m_\pi^2 \frac{e^{-m_\pi r}}{r} \right) \frac{1}{2} \vec{\sigma}_P, \quad (23)$$

where $\langle\langle\vec{A}_3(r)\rangle\rangle$ denotes the spherical average of the proton matrix element of $\vec{A}_3(\vec{r})$. I have replaced the short range contribution, $\vec{a}_0(r)\theta(R-r)$ by $g_A^0\vec{\chi}\delta^3(\vec{r})$ since the hydrogenic ground state wave function is essentially constant for $r \leq R$. Also, in averaging the pionic contribution I have omitted the delta function at the origin in $\nabla^2(e^{-m_\pi r}/r)$ because the origin is explicitly excluded by the $\theta(r-R)$. Combining eqs. (22) and (23), yields an effective Hamiltonian for the spin-spin interaction in the s -wave,

$$H_{s\text{-wave}}(r) = -\frac{G_F}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{2}{3}g_A\delta^3(\vec{r}) + \frac{1}{12\pi}g_A m_\pi^2 \frac{e^{-m_\pi r}}{r} \right) \vec{\sigma}_P \cdot \vec{\sigma}_\ell \quad (24)$$

Next, take the expectation value of $H_{s\text{-wave}}(\vec{r})$ in the ground state $\psi_0(r) = (\frac{1}{\pi a_\ell})^{3/2}e^{-r/a_\ell}$. If $1/m_\pi \gg a_\ell$, then the second term in eq. (23) is negligible and we obtain,

$$\lim_{m_\pi a_\ell \rightarrow 0} \langle\psi_0|H_{s\text{-wave}}|\psi_0\rangle = -\frac{G_F}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{2}{3}g_A \right) \vec{\sigma}_P \cdot \vec{\sigma}_\ell |\psi_0(0)|^2 \quad (25)$$

which verifies that one-third of the nucleon's axial charge has receded to distances of order $1/m_\pi$, which is much greater than the size of the atom and therefore does not affect the interaction. On the other hand, if $1/m_\pi \ll a_\ell$ then the second term in eq. (23) is easily evaluated and supplies the missing third of g_A :

$$\lim_{m_\pi a_\ell \rightarrow \infty} \langle\psi_0|H_{s\text{-wave}}|\psi_0\rangle = -\frac{G_F}{2\sqrt{2}} g_A \vec{\sigma}_P \cdot \vec{\sigma}_\ell |\psi_0(0)|^2 \quad (26)$$

The latter case goes smoothly over to the physically relevant case (with strength g_A) in which m_π and Λ_{QCD} are comparable and much greater than αm_ℓ .³ This example shows that the delocalization of the axial charge in the chiral limit is (at least in principle) a physically measurable effect.

Other hadron axial charges, for example, the ones that enter strangeness conserving semileptonic hyperon decays (like $\Sigma \rightarrow \Lambda e \bar{\nu}$) or semileptonic D and B decays (like $B^* \rightarrow B e \bar{\nu}$), behave in the same way as $m_\pi \rightarrow 0$. However, it appears that other nucleon matrix elements of pseudotensor operators *do not* suffer from this subtlety that afflicts the axial charge. The isovector tensor charge, g_T , defined as the coefficient of $p_\mu s_\nu - p_\nu s_\mu$ in the forward matrix element of $\bar{\psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{T}\psi$, provides an important contrast because it

³Note that in this calculation it is justified to ignore the $\vec{q}^2$ variation of the axial form factor because the typical momentum transfer in the hydrogenic ground state is determined by m_ℓ , which can be taken to be small compared to the natural scale of variation of the axial form factor (which is finite in the chiral limit). [8] Note also that I have identified $g_{\pi N}f_\pi/M$ with g_A even for $m_\pi \neq 0$, which is only approximately true.

is amenable to lattice simulation. There is no pion pole term in the tensor charge because $\langle \pi(p) | \bar{\psi} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{T} \psi | 0 \rangle = 0$. So lattice calculations of g_T should be more stable in the chiral limit than g_A . Another important tower of operators are the twist-two, pseudotensor operators that determine the spin-dependent quark distributions in the nucleon, $\mathcal{A}_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_n}^n = \bar{\psi} \gamma_{\{\mu_1} D_{\mu_2} \dots D_{\mu_n\}} \gamma_5 \psi$. $\mathcal{A}^n$ does receive a pion pole contribution, but it is proportional to a high (second or greater) derivative of π , and therefore does not generate a nonuniformity in the matrix element.

It appears that the flavor nonsinglet axial charge is unique in possessing such singular behavior in the chiral limit. This may be the source of instabilities in lattice simulations of g_A . The unusual spatial distribution of the nucleon's axial charge may have other physical consequences. For example, that it receives an important contribution from distance scales of order $1/m_\pi$ may account for modifications of g_A in large nuclei without invoking partial restoration of chiral symmetry.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank T. Blum, T. Cohen, R. Jackiw, J. Negele, S. Ohta, K. Orginos, K. Rajagopal, F. Wilczek, and M. Wise for conversations on this subject. This work is supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy (D.O.E.) under cooperative research agreement #DF-FC02-94ER40818, and in part by the RIKEN-BNL Research Center at Brookhaven National Laboratory.

REFERENCES

- [1] This phenomenon was recognized long ago in the context of chiral quark models, but its generality was apparently not appreciated. See R.L. Jaffe, “The Bag”, in *Pointlike Structures Inside and Outside Hadrons, Proceedings of the 1979 Erice School in Subnuclear Physics*, A. Zichichi, ed. (Plenum, New York, 1981). For other precursors, see G.S. Adkins, C.R. Nappi and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **228**, 552 (1983), and T.D. Cohen and W. Broniowski, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 3472 (1986).
- [2] See, for example, T. Ericson and W. Weise, *Pions and Nuclei*, (Oxford, 1988), and references therein.
- [3] T. Blum, S. Ohta, S. Sasaki, *Domain Wall Fermion Calculation of Nucleon g_A/g_V* , contributed to the 18th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory (Lattice 2000). Published in Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **94**, 295 (2001) [hep-lat/0011011]; R. Horsley (UKQCD and QCDSF Collaborations), *Determining g_A Nonperturbatively $\mathcal{O}(A)$ with Improved Wilson Fermions*, Talk presented at the 18th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory (Lattice 2000). Published in Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **94**, 307 (2001) [hep-lat/0010059].
- [4] M. Fukugita, Y. Kuramashi, M. Okawa and A. Ukawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2092 (1995); S.J. Dong, J.-F. Lagaë and K.F. Liu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2096 (1995); K.F. Liu, S.J. Dong, T. Draper and J.M. Wu, Phys. Rev. **D49**, 4755 (1994); M. Göckeler et al, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 2317 (1996); S. Capitani et al, in Proc. Lattice 98, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **73**, 294 (1999); S. Capitani et al, Proc. DIS 99, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **79**, 548 (1999); S. Güsken et al, Phys. Rev. **D59**, 114502 (1999).
- [5] After posting of this paper to the arXiv, this question was addressed by T.D. Cohen, arXiv:hep-lat/0112014.
- [6] See, for example, T.P. Cheng and L.F. Lee, *Gauge Theory of Elementary Particle Physics* (Oxford, London, 1984), p. 153.
- [7] M. Goldberger and S.B. Treiman, Phys. Rev. **110** 1178 (1958).
- [8] For a recent review, see V. Bernard, L. Elouadrhiri and U.G. Meissner, *Axial Structure of the Nucleon*, [hep-ph/0107088].